Atty Docket: 09/660,450US1 (4081-01701)

Patent

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

## Status of Claims

Claims 1, 31, 36, and 43 have been amended.

Claims 10-18, 20-28, 32, 35, and 40-42 have been canceled.

As such, claims 1-9, 19, 29-31, 33-34, 36-39, and 43 are currently pending in this application.

Applicants hereby request further examination and reconsideration of the presently claimed application.

## Objections to the Specification

While Applicants believe that the term "about" is a customary and well-accepted term in chemical practice, Applicants have deleted the term "about" from the specification in an effort to substantively advance prosecution.

The term "unreacted monomer" is inherently supported by the conversion data in Table 1.

Where there is less than 100% conversion of reactants, inherently unreacted monomer remains.

As was expressly addressed in the last response to office action, the range of "27 to 81 weight percent dimers" is literally supported on the lower end by Example 6 and on the upper end by Example 2 in the second to last column in Table 1.

As was expressly addressed in the last response to office action, the range of "18.5 to 80 weight percent initial and second olefins" is supported as follows. The lower limit of 18.5 is literally supported by Example 24 in Table 1, and the upper limit of 80 is supported as set forth on pages 10 and 11 of the April 6, 2005 response to office action.

Atty Docket: 09/660,450US1 (4081-01701)

Patent

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph rejections

While Applicants believe that the term "about" is a customary and well-accepted term in chemical practice, Applicants have deleted the term "about" where objected to in claims 1, 31, 36,

and 43 in an effort to substantively advance prosecution.

The range of "27 to 81 weight percent dimers" in the claims is literally supported on the

lower end by Example 6 and on the upper end by Example 2 in the second to last column in Table

1.

The range of "18.5 to 80 weight percent initial and second olefins" in the claims is

supported as follows. The lower limit of 18.5 is literally supported by Example 24 in Table 1, and

the upper limit of 80 is supported as set forth on pages 10 and 11 of the April 6, 2005 response to

office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph rejections

While Applicants believe that the term "about" is a customary and well-accepted term in

chemical practice, Applicants have deleted the term "about" where objected to in claims 1, 31, 36,

and 43 in an effort to substantively advance prosecution.

Claims 32, 35, and 40-42 have been canceled, and thus the lack of antecedent basis for

"dimers" is now moot.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.130, Applicants provide herewith a declaration of common

ownership (a terminal disclaimer was filed with our response dated January 20, 2006 and the

appropriate fee was charged to our deposit account on January 23, 2006), and thereby removing

7

32818-01/4081-01701

Atty Docket: 09/660,450US1 (4081-01701)

Patent

U.S. Pat. No. 6,291,733 as a prior art reference. Thus, the prior art rejections of the pending claims have been overcome.

FEB-07-2006 16:51 CONLEY & ROSE PC 9727312289 P.10

Atty Docket: 09/660,450US1 (4081-01701)

Patent

## CONCLUSION

Consideration of the foregoing amendments and remarks, reconsideration of the application, and withdrawal of the rejections and objections is respectfully requested by Applicants. No new matter is introduced by way of the amendment. It is believed that each ground of rejection raised in the Final Office Action dated November 22, 2005 and the Advisory Action of February 2, 2006 has been fully addressed. If any fee is due as a result of the filing of this paper, please appropriately charge such fee to Deposit Account Number 50-1515 of Conley Rose, P.C., Texas. If a petition for extension of time is necessary in order for this paper to be deemed timely filed, please consider this a petition therefore.

If a telephone conference would facilitate the resolution of any issue or expedite the prosecution of the application, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned at the telephone number given below.

Respectfully submitted,

CONLEY ROSE, P.C.

Date: 2-7-06

Roghey B. Carroll
No. 39 624

Weg. No. 39,624

5700 Granite Parkway, Suite 330 Plano, Texas 75024 (972) 731-2288

ATTORNEY FOR APPLICANTS

9